

MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND CRITICAL CARE **OUTCOMES**

Tomas Jovaiša



Vilniaus universiteto ligoninė **SANTAROS KLINIKOS**



Does current evidence directly relate to real-world clinical outcomes?

Yes and No





CRASH-2

- Tranexamic acid in trauma patients
- Positive trial
- No difference in treatment effect amongst centres

Roberts I, Shakur H, Coats T, Hunt B, Balogun E, Barnetson L, et al. The CRASH-2 trial: a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of the effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events and transfusion requirement in bleeding trauma patients. Health Technol Assess (Winchester, England) 2014/17 FLOP:1. Vilniaus universiteto ligonine SANTAROS KLINIKOS





Roberts I, Shakur H, Coats T, Hunt B, Balogun E, Barnetson L, et al. The CRASH-2 trial: a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of the effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events and transfusion requirement in bleeding trauma patients. Health Technol Assess (Winchester, England) 2013;17(10):1.





EuSOS

- Outcomes of emergency surgery
- Significant variance by country



The Lancet 2012 3801059-1065DOI: (10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61148-9)



Is this true for all ICU patients?

- Netherlands
- United Kingdom
- Lithuania





Comparing apples and pears

- Team A treated 1000 patients on their ICU last year:
 - 208 patients did not survive to ICU discharge
 - 12 more patients did not survive to hospital discharge
 - Hospital mortality in this cohort was 22%







Understanding standardised mortality ratios

- SMR compares actual unit/hospital mortality rate with predicted mortality based on patient population treated.
- Prediction is usually based on validated systems (APACHE, SAPS, ICNARC)
- Further adjustments can be made for specific patient populations (surgical, cancer, etc.)





Understanding standartised mortality ratios

- Prediction model suggests, that in the cohort of patients treated by Team A mortality should be 24.5%
- Actual hospital mortality was 22%
- 220 actual deaths /245 predicted deaths = SMR 0.9





Understanding standartised mortality ratios

- Prediction model suggests, that in the cohort of patients treated by Team A mortality should be 24.5%
- Actual hospital mortality was 22%
- 220 actual deaths /245 predicted deaths = SMR 0.9



• Well done Team A!!!





Netherlands





van Sluisveld et al. BMC Health Services Research (2017) 17:281



United Kingdom

Risk-adjusted acute hospital mortality







Lithuania







We are getting better over time

- Netherlands
- Reduction in average SMR over 6 year period
- But SMRs still vary between 0.7-1.4 (excluding extreme outliers)
- Over last decade some narrowing in SMR in the UK.
- NOTE:

SMR 0.7-1.4 = 50% mortality difference







Welcome to North East and North Central London Adult Critical Care Network

An operational delivery network for adults in critical care

We are one of three, Networks, based in London whose main aim is to get the best out of the resources available for patients, who are usually the sickest patients in the hospital.

We are funded by NHS England and are hosted by the Royal Free Hospital where we are based. We started running the network in April 2014.

Transfer training



Learning from serious incidents



North East and North Central Adult Critical Care Network

> Peer Review Report Newham

18th October 2017

NHS

London Operational Delivery Networks

North East and North Central Adult Critical Care Network Peer Review Report Barnet Hospital

> Intensive Care Unit 18th January 2017



Referral, Admission and Discharge





BaltAnestIC 2023



Insights

 No obvious link between staffing levels, funding, availability of support services and SMR. Some manage to produce excellent results with minimal resource





Insights

 No obvious link between staffing levels, funding, availability of support services and SMR. Some manage to produce excellent results with minimal resource







We do not follow what we preach

- LUNG-SAFE (ESICM trials group):
 - large observational study designed to evaluate the incidence, management, and outcome of patients with ARDS in 50 countries
 - only 60% of cases were recognized, and 35% received tidal volumes greater than 8 ml/kg predicted body weight
- Study at two U.S. hospitals:
 - physicians reported strong support of low-Vt for ARDS
 - 87% believed that they correctly diagnosed ARDS within 12 hours of onset.
 - physicians initiated low-Vt in only 7% of their eligible patients.
 - clinician attitudes and perceived barriers were not correlated with low-Vt ventilation initiation.

Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, et al. LUNG SAFE Investigators; ESICM Trials Group. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. JAMA. 2016;315:788–800. Weiss CH, Baker DW, Tulas K, Weiner S, Bechel M, Rademaker A, et al. A critical care clinician survey comparing attitudes and perceived barriers to low tidal volume vontilation with actual practice. Ann Am Thoras Soc. 2017;14:1682–1680.



HUMAN

FACTOR



Drugs didn't work, but process did!!!

- LIPS-A RCT.
 - Aspirin administration had no effect in ARDS prevention trial,
 - but implementation of a lung injury prevention checklist was associated with a 50% lower incidence of ARDS than anticipated (based on lung injury prediction scores across participating hospitals).
- MIND-USA RCT
 - failed to demonstrate a reduction in delirium duration with neuroleptic administration,
 - but documented a significant reduction in its incidence after implementation of a standardized ABCDE bundle.



Girard TD, et al. MIND-USA Investigators. Haloperidol and ziprasidone for treatment of delirium in critical illness. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:2506–2516.





Dealing with complexity

Effective decision-making and care delivery

in the complex ICU environment require a distributed cognitive network—a wellcoordinated healthcare team working in a practice environment that is adapted to accommodate the limits of human cognition.



SANTAROS KLINIKOS

Niven AS, Herasevich S, Pickering BW, Gajic O. The Future of Critical Care Lies in Quality Improvement and Education. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2019 Jun; 1916



Challenges

- Unit level
- Hospital level
- Regional/National level





Defining components

- STRUCTURE
- WORKFORCE
- PROCESS
- CLINICAL CARE
- ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS
- EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS

11th Interna[.] September 2







GUIDELINES FOR THE PROVISION OF INTENSIVE CARE SERVICES

Version 2.1 July 2022



Implementing core principles in Lithuania





MoH act on provision of Critical Care Services 2022

- Population and accessibility based system
- 5 regions, 3 specialist networks
- Requirements for hospital Klaipėda infrastructure and support services
- Requirements for unit level infrastructure and resources
- Staffing requirements
- Quality indicators
 - Structure, Processes and Results (incl. 1year all-cause mortality)
 - Reportable yearly to MoH





Few examples from VUL SK





50% reduction in 48-hour readmission rates







50% reduction of chronic critical illness burden

Sausis

Vasaris

Kovas

- ICU LoS >7 days
 - 22.6% in 2022
 - 12.1% in 2023











30% more efficient than in 2019 (Cardiothoracic ICU)

- Same number of cases per month
- 10% lower bed occupancy
- 20% reduction in no of beds







SMR in our units @VUL SK







The future of Critical Care lies in Quality Improvement, Education and Complex Systems Management

